NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

FLYNN PIERRE WILLIAMSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

F045253

(Super. Ct. No. F03906107-8)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Fresno County. Brant Bramer, Commissioner.

Deborah Prucha, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Mary Jo Graves, Assistant Attorney General, and Charles A. French, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

^{*}Before Vartabedian, Acting P.J., Levy, J., and Cornell, J.

On December 1, 2003, appellant, Flynn Pierre Williamson, pled nolo contendere to one count of continuous sexual abuse of a child under age 14 (Pen. Code, § 288.5) pursuant to a plea agreement. Under the terms of the agreement, there would be a lid of the 12-year midterm on Williamson's sentence. The court sentenced Williamson to the 12-year midterm, imposed a restitution fine, and granted applicable custody credits.

Williamson's appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, raises no issues, and requests this court to independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) The opening brief also includes the declaration of appellate counsel indicating that Williamson was advised he could file his own brief with this court. By letter on July 8, 2004, we invited Williamson to submit additional briefing. To date, he has not done so.

After independent review of the record, we have concluded no reasonably arguable legal or factual argument exists.

The judgment is affirmed.